

**FAR Part 150 Study
Noise Compatibility Program Checklist - Part II**

Airport Name: Buffalo Niagara International Airport

Reviewer:

	Yes/No/ NA	Reference/Comments
I. IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMISSION OF PROGRAM:		
A. Submission is properly identified		
1. FAR Part 150 NCP?	No	
2. NEM and NCP together?	Yes	Transmittal letter, Volumes I and II
3. Program revision?	No	This is the initial Part 150 Study for the BNIA
B. Airport and airport operator's name identified?	Yes	Transmittal Letter
C. NCP transmitted by airport operator cover letter?	Yes	Transmittal Letter
II. CONSULTATION: [150.23]		
A. Documentation includes narrative of public participation and consultation process?	Yes	Chapter 6.0
B. Identification of consulted parties:	Yes	
1. All parties in 150.23 (c) consulted?	Yes	Chapter 6.0
2. Public and planning agencies identified?	Yes	Chapter 6.0
3. Agencies in 2., above, correspond to those indicated on the NEM?	Yes	
C. Satisfies 150.23 (d) requirements:	Yes	
1. Documentation shows active and direct participation of parties in B, above?	Yes	Chapter 6.0
2. Active and direct participation of general public?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, Appendices F and G
3. Participation was prior to and during development of NCP and prior to submittal to FAA?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, Appendices F and G
4. Indicates adequate opportunities afforded to submit views, data, etc?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, Appendices F and G
D. Evidence included of notice and opportunity for a public hearing on NCP?	Yes	Appendix G
E. Documentation of comments:		
1. Includes summary of public hearing comments, if hearing was held?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, Appendix G
2. Includes copy of all written material submitted to operator?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, Appendix G
3. Includes operator's responses/disposition of written and verbal comments?	Yes	Appendices F and G
F. Informal agreement received from FAA on flight procedures?	Yes	Chapters 2.0 and 5.0 FAA Control Tower representative participated in the Technical Advisory Committee and assisted in developing the operational measures that are recommended for implementation
III. NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS: [150.23, b150.3; 150.35(f)]. (This section of the checklist is not a substitute for the Noise Exposure Map Checklist. It deals with maps in the context of the Noise Compatibility Program submission.)		
A. Inclusion of NEMs and supporting documentation:		
1. Map documentation either included or incorporated by reference?	Yes	
2. Maps previously found in compliance by FAA?	No	NEMs and NCP are submitted together.
3. Compliance determination still valid?	N/A	
4. Does 180-day period have to wait for map compliance finding?	N/A	NEM and NCP are submitted together
B. Revised NEMs submitted with program: (Review using NEM checklist if map revisions included in NCP submittal)		
1. Revised NEMs included with program?	NA	
2. Has airport operator requested FAA to make a determination on the NEM(s) when NCP approval is made?	N/A	
C. If program analysis uses noise modeling:		
1. INM, HNM, or FAA-approved equivalent?	Yes	INM Version 6.1
2. Monitoring in accordance with A150.5?	Yes	Appendix A

FAR Part 150 Study (continued)
Noise Compatibility Program Checklist - Part II

	Yes/No/ NA	Reference/Comments
D. Existing condition and 5-year maps clearly identified as the official NEMs?	Yes	Volume I, Chapter 4.0 Existing Noise Exposure Maps and Chapter 5.0 Future Baseline Noise Exposure Maps
IV. CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES: [B150.7, 150.23(e)]		
A. At a minimum, are the alternatives below considered?		
1. Land acquisition and interests therein, including air rights, easements, and development rights?	Yes	Chapter 3.0
2. Barriers, acoustical shielding, public building soundproofing	Yes	Chapter 2.0 and 3.0
3. Preferential runway system	Yes	Chapter 2.0
4. Flight procedures	Yes	Chapter 2.0
5. Restrictions on type/class of aircraft (at least one restriction below must be checked)		
a. Deny use based on Federal standards	No	
b. Capacity limits based on noisiness	No	
c. Noise abatement takeoff/approach procedures	Yes	
d. Landing fees based on noise or time of day	No	
e. Nighttime restrictions	Yes	Chapter 2.0 Quiet Time between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. Quiet Timer refers to a restriction on engine maintenance runups and preferential runway use. Quiet Time should not be misconstrued as meaning that there is a curfew on all airport activity.
6. Other actions with beneficial impact	Yes	Chapter 2.0
7. Other FAA recommendations	Yes	Chapter 2.0
B. Responsible implementing authority identified for each considered alternative?	Yes	Chapters 5.0
C. Analysis of alternative measures:		
1. Measures clearly described?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0
2. Measures adequately analyzed?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0
3. Adequate reasoning for rejecting alternative?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0
D. Other actions recommended by the FAA: Should other actions be added? (List separately or on back of this form actions and discussions with airport operator to have them included prior to the start of the 180-day cycle)	N/A	
V. ALTERNATIVES RECOMMENDED FOR IMPLEMENTATION: [150.23(e), B150.7(c); 150.35(b), B150.5]		
A. Document clearly indicates:		
1. Alternatives recommended for implementation?	Yes	Chapter 5.0
2. Final recommendations are airport operator's, not those of consultant or third party?	Yes	Transmittal Letter
B. Do all program recommendations:		
1. Relate directly or indirectly to reduction of noise and incompatible land uses?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0
2. Contain description of contribution to overall effectiveness of program?	Yes	Chapters 5.0
3. Noise/land use benefits quantified to extent possible?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0
4. Include actual/anticipated effect on reducing noise exposure within incompatible area shown on NEM?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 5.0
5. Effects based on relevant and reasonable expressed assumptions?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 5.0
6. Have adequate supporting data to support its contribution to noise/land use compatibility?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0

FAR Part 150 Study (continued)
Noise Compatibility Program Checklist- Part II

	Yes/No/ NA	Reference/Comments
C. Analysis appears to support program standards set forth in 150.35(b) and B150.5?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0
D. When use restrictions are recommended:		
1. Are alternatives with potentially significant noise/compatible land use benefits thoroughly analyzed so appropriate comparisons and conclusions can be made?		No use restrictions are recommended
2. Use restriction coordinated with APP-600 prior to making determination on start of 180-days?		No use restrictions are recommended
E. Do the following also meet Part 150 analytical standards:		
1. Formal recommendations which continue existing practices?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, and 5.0
2. New recommendations or changes proposed at end of Part 150 process?	Yes	Chapters 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0
F. Documentation indicates how recommendations may change previously adopted plans?	Yes	Chapter 4.0
G. Documentation also:		
1. Identifies agencies which are responsible for implementing each recommendation?	Yes	Chapter 5.0
2. Indicates whether those agencies have agreed to implement?	Yes	Chapter 6.0, statements of agreement are informal and verbal only.
3. Indicates essential government actions necessary to implement recommendations?	Yes	Chapter 5.0
H. Timeframe:		
1. Includes agreed-upon schedule to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapter 5.0
2. Indicates period covered by the program?	Yes	Chapter 5.0
I. Funding/Costs:		
1. Includes costs to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapters 3.0, and 5.0
2. Includes anticipated funding sources?	Yes	Chapters 3.0, and 5.0
VI. PROGRAM REVISION: [150.23(e) (9)] Supporting documentation includes provision for revision?	Yes	Chapter 5.0

Issued in Washington, APP-600, March 1989.